ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/30/12 Date Received: 08/16/12

Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231

Date Extracted: NA Date Analyzed: 08/28/12

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID

<u>H</u>q

Laboratory ID

M09384

 $1.96 \, \mathrm{ht}$

208231-01

^{*} Due to the low pH of this sample, the result provided by this test method may be an estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09384
Date Received: 08/16/12
Date Extracted: 08/16/12
Date Analyzed: 08/23/12
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client:
Project:
Lab ID:
Data File:
Instrument:

Alaskan Copper Works X-Ray Self Monitor M09384 208231-01 100x

208231-01 100x 208231-01 100x.020 ICPMS1

Operator: btb

Internal Standard: Germanium Indium % Recovery: 87 81 Lower Limit: 60 60

Upper Limit: 125 125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium Silver 243 233

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 08/16/12
Date Analyzed: 08/23/12
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09384
Lab ID: I2-539 mb
Data File: I2-539 mb.019
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: btb

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 94 60 125 Indium 91 60 125

Analyte: Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Silver <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/30/12 Date Received: 08/16/12

Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code: 208231-01 (Duplicate)

-	Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
pH	1.96	1.97	1	0-20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/30/12 Date Received: 08/16/12

Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 208209-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.02	99	101	71-130	2
Silver	ug/L (ppb)	5	<1	99	102	73-114	3


Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria	
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	80-119	•
Silver	ug/L (ppb)	5	106	85-116	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 30, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the additional results from the testing of material submitted on August 16, 2012 from the X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231 project. There are 6 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0830R.DOC